

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1-5 are presently pending in the application. Claim 1 is the sole independent claim.

### **Specification**

In the Office Action, the Examiner suggests that the first paragraph of the specification be amended to identify that the parent application is now issued as U.S. Patent No. 6,894,850. Applicants respectfully submit that the specification has been amended above as suggested by the Examiner.

### **Double Patenting Rejection**

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-5 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,894,850 (hereafter "the '850 patent"). Without conceding the validity of this rejection, Applicants have filed concurrently herewith a Terminal Disclaimer disclaiming any terminal part of the term of a patent issuing from this application exceeding the term of the '850 patent. Thus, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw this rejection.

Application No. 10/824,355  
Amendment dated November 3, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of August 4, 2005


Docket No.: 1190-0566PUS2

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: November 3, 2005

Respectfully submitted,



By   
D. Richard Anderson  
Registration No.: 40,439  
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP  
8110 Gatehouse Rd  
Suite 100 East  
P.O. Box 747  
Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747  
(703) 205-8000  
Attorney for Applicant